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Abstract

Erdős has defined $g(n)$ as the smallest integer such that any set of $g(n)$ points in the plane, no three collinear, contains the vertex set of a convex $n$-gon whose interior contains no point of this set. Arbitrarily large sets containing no empty convex 7-gon are constructed, showing that $g(n)$ does not exist for $n \geq 7$. Whether $g(6)$ exists is unknown.
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Esther Klein raised the following combinatorial geometry problem [5]. For \( n \geq 3 \), let \( f(n) \) be the smallest integer such that for any set of \( f(n) \) points in the plane, no three collinear, contains the vertex set of a convex \( n \)-gon. Determine \( f(n) \). It is easy to show that \( f(3)=3 \) and \( f(4)=5 \). That \( f(5)=9 \) was proved in [4]. Erdos and Szekeres determined that \( 2^{n-2}+1 \leq f(n) \leq \left( \frac{2n-4}{n-2} \right) +1 \) [1],[2].

Erdős has raised a similar question. For \( n \geq 3 \), define \( g(n) \) to be the smallest integer such that any set of \( g(n) \) points in the plane, no three collinear, contains the vertex set of a convex \( n \)-gon whose interior contains no point of the set. We call a \( n \)-gon, with no points of the set in its interior, empty. Again, \( g(3)=3 \) and \( g(4)=5 \). Harborth has proved that \( g(5)=10 \) [3]. However, it is not known whether \( g(6) \) exists. The main result of this note is that \( g(7) \), and hence \( g(n) \) for all \( n \geq 7 \), does not exist.

We construct, for any \( k \), a set of \( 2^k \) points with no empty convex \( 7 \)-gon. Let \( a_1 a_2 \ldots a_k \) be the binary expansion of the integer \( i, 0 \leq i < 2^k \). Note that leading 0's are not omitted. Let \( c=2^k+1 \), and define \( d(i)=\sum a_j c^{j-1} \), summing from \( j=1 \) to \( j=k \). Let \( p_i \) be the point \((i, d(i))\), and define \( S_k \) to be the set of points \( \{p_i \mid i=0,1,\ldots,2^k-1\} \). Observations:

(a) \( \{p_i \mid i<2^{k-1}\} = \) the left half of \( S_k = L \).
(b) \( \{p_i \mid i \geq 2^{k-1}\} = \) the right half of \( S_k = R \), which is a translate of \( L \).
(c) \( \{p_i \mid i \) is even\} = \) the bottom half of \( S_k = B \).
(d) \( \{p_i \mid i \) is odd\} = \) the top half of \( S_k = T \), which is a translate of \( B \).
(e) L, R, B, and T are all scaled translates of each other. For example, halving the first coordinate while multiplying the second coordinate by c, takes B onto L.

(f) The 180° rotation of the plane about \((\frac{(2^k-1)/2}{2}, \frac{C^i}{2})\) takes T onto B.

(g) All points of T are above any line joining two points of B. The value of c was chosen large enough to make this true. Similarly, all points of B are below any line joining two points of T.

(h) If i and j both have the same last x digits in their binary expansions, and h has a different sequence of x rightmost digits, then whether \(p_h\) is above or below the line joining \(p_i\) and \(p_j\) is determined by the sequences of the last x digits.

Consider any empty convex n-gon A in \(S_k\). We may assume A is contained entirely in neither T nor B. Otherwise if A is contained in B, apply the linear transformation that takes B onto L. A will be transformed into any empty convex n-gon in L. Similarly, if A is contained in T, apply the linear transformation that takes T onto L. Repeat this procedure until a transformed image of A meets both T and B.

Next, consider how many points of A can be in B. Assume \(p_i\) and \(p_j\) are in \(A \cap B\). By (g) above, no point \(p_h\) of B, with \(i < h < j\), can be above the line segment joining \(p_i\) and \(p_j\), since otherwise no point of T could be in A. As well, I claim that \(d(h) < d(i)\) and \(d(h) < d(j)\). Since \(p_h\) is below the line joining \(p_i\) and \(p_j\), clearly one of these statements is true. Assume \(d(h) < d(i)\), but \(d(h) > d(j)\). Let x be the position of the right-most digit at which h and i differ in their binary expansions; let y be the position of the right-most digit at which h and j differ. In both cases, the number with the larger functional value must have a 1 in the position, and the other number a 0. If \(x < y\) then \(p_j\) must be below the line joining \(p_i\) and \(p_h\), by observation (h).
But then $p_i$ is above the line joining $p_i$ and $p_j$, a contradiction. Hence we can assume that $y < x$. In this case, consider $\ell = j - 2^{k-x}$. The right-most position in which the binary expansions of $\ell$ and $j$ differ is $x$, where $\ell$ has a 1 and $j$ has a 0. On the other hand, $\ell$ and $i$ must agree in the last $k-x$ positions. By observation (h), $p_j$ is below the line joining $p_i$ and $p_j$. But since $j-i > j-h > 2^{k-y} > 2^{k-x} = j-\ell$, $i < \ell < j$. Then $p_\ell$ must be both above and below the line joining $p_i$ and $p_j$, a contradiction. Similarly, $d(j) < d(h) < d(i)$ leads to a contradiction. Therefore $d(h) < d(i)$ and $d(h) < d(j)$.

If $A \cap B$ contained four points $i < h < \ell < j$, then $d(h) < d(\ell)$ and $d(\ell) < d(h)$. Hence $A \cap B$ cannot contain more than three points. By observation (f) above, $A \cap T$ cannot contain more than three points either. Hence $A$ has no more than 6 points.

Whether $g(6)$ exists is still unknown. However, I can give some indications that $g(6)$ does exist.

**Lemma 1.** Assume $S$ is a set of $n$ points with no empty convex hexagon, $n \geq 5$. Then at most $\left\lceil \frac{(n+11)}{3} \right\rceil$ of the points are in the convex hull, $n \neq 8$.

**Proof:** Let $S$ have $x$ points in its convex hull, (exterior points) and $y$ points in its interior (interior points).

If $y > 2$, consider any two points $p$ and $q$ on the convex hull of the set of interior points. There are at most 3 exterior points on the side of the line joining $p$ and $q$ away from the interior points of $S$. Throwing these points away, we get a set with at most $y-2$ interior points, and at least $x-1$ exterior points. This construction yields the induction step required to prove the lemma.

Clearly if $y=0$, then $x \leq 5$. If $y=1$, then we can only show that $x \leq 7$, the exception mentioned in the lemma. If $y=2$, then $x \leq 6$, using the induction
step. If y=3, then x<7, as is shown below, which completes the basis for the induction.

Let \( p_1, p_2, p_3 \) be the three interior points of a set \( S \) of points with no empty convex hexagon. The line joining \( p_i \) and \( p_j \) has at most 3 exterior points on the side away from the third interior point, on the "outside", as noted above. But also, given two such lines, there are at most two exterior points on the "inside" of both lines. Otherwise the three exterior points in the intersection of the two "insides", together with \( p_1, p_2 \) and \( p_3 \), would form an empty convex hexagon. Summing the number of exterior points in the three "outsides", and in the intersection of the three pairs of "insides", we can get at most 15. But each exterior point must be counted twice. Therefore \( x<7 \).

A planar map is said to be cubic if all vertices are of degree 3; a planar map is said to be convex if all interior faces are convex polygons.

Proposition: If \( S \) is the vertex set of a cubic convex planar map with 54 or more vertices, then \( S \) contains an empty convex hexagon.

Proof: Let the map have \( n \) vertices, \( f \) faces, and \( e \) edges. Obviously, \( 3n=2e \), and Euler's formula applies, so \( f+n=e+2 \). Then \( f=(n/2)+2 \).

The sum, over all faces, of the number of edges in each face, is \( 3n \). We may assume that the outer face has at most \((n+11)/3\) edges, by the lemma. Then the average interior face has \((3n-(n+11)/3)/((n/2)+1)\) edges. The value of this expression is greater than 5 if \( n>52 \).

However, not any set \( S \) can be represented as the vertex set of a cubic convex planar map. Any set with an odd number of points is a simple counterexample. For a more complicated example, consider \( 2n \) points
at the corners of two regular n-gons, with one inside the other. If the inner n-gon's vertices are close enough to the middle of the outer n-gon's edges, all the n outer vertices must have degree 4 to make a convex map.

I wish to acknowledge D. Avis of McGill University who first mentioned this problem to me, and with whom I has some interesting discussions.
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